Explaining American English Usages with Reference to the History of British English Usages: Variations of Past Participle *Got* and *Gotten* as a Test Case

Nakamura, Fujio (Kansai Gaidai University, Japan)

fnakamu@kansaigaidai.ac.jp

Archaic uses in British English (BrE) have survived in Present-day American English (AmE). Concerning the pronunciation of the noun herb, for example, the OED describes that the word was spelt in Middle English as "usually erbe, a. OF. erbe . . . In OF. and ME. occasionally spelt with h after Lat.; regularly so since c 1475, but the h was mute until the 19th c." (OED^2 , s.v. n. herb). This naturally explains the reason why the word herb is generally pronounced as ['ə:rb] in AmE.

Similarly, the form *afterward* stems from older BrE. Where corpora are concerned, BrE essentially had only the form of *afterward* from the fourteenth to the first half of the sixteenth centuries, and this form was transferred to the American colonies, whereas in BrE it changed into *afterwards* around the mid-seventeenth century (Nakamura 2024). Furthermore, the usage of *he don't know*, which had its long pedigree in BrE, survives in some parts of the US (Nakamura 2013, 2023). Mencken (1977⁴ [1919]: 542), for example, states that the negative contraction of *do* was usually *don't*, and that *doesn't* was seldom heard. Among Southerners, he continues, the third singular *don't* rose "to the level of cultured speech". Trask (2004: 199) even writes that "*doesn't* scarcely exists in vernacular speech" in the west part of the state of New York.

Considering these connections, this presentation aims to explore variations between the past participle *gotten* and *got* statistically across time and varieties of English, referring to other studies such as Hundt (2009) and Anderwald (2020). An examination of electronic corpora includes (a) contemporary BrE: LOB, FLOB and BNC; (b) contemporary AmE: Time, Brown, Frown, COCA and SOAP; (c) other varieties of contemporary English: ACE, Kolhapur and Strathy; (d) historical BrE: EEBO ver. 3, BrE part of ARCHER ver. 3.2 and Hansard; and (e) historical AmE: AmE part of ARCHER, ver. 3.2, US Supreme Court decisions and COHA.

Based upon 740,145 examples, it is clear that, from 1470 onward, the prevalent past participle form of *get* was *gotten* in BrE, whether perfective or passive. Accordingly, the British colonists brought *gotten* along with them to their new homeland in North America. However, around the mid-17th century, when North Carolina became an American colony, *got* surpassed *gotten* in BrE and then underwent a rapid acceleration in both BrE and AmE. "Newcomer" as *got* was, it was preferred partly perhaps because of its simpler form and pronunciation.

Despite this, the categorisation of *got* in many dictionaries is a complete mystery. Webster (1787⁴ [1784]: 92) includes the verb *get* among the verbs which have the present, past and participle as being all different—unlike *MWCD*¹¹ (2020) in which *got* is lemmatised prior to *gotten*. Mencken (1977⁴ [1919]: 540), invoking Curme (1927: 495-496), regards the *gotten* as a hallmark of AmE. BrE dictionaries such as *OALD*¹⁰ (2020) also categorise *gotten* as typically AmE. So far as the corpora examined above are concerned, however, *gotten* was never prevalent in any phase of the history of AmE.

References

[MWCD¹¹] Webster, Noah (2020¹¹ [1898]) Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary. Retrieved from https://www.merriam-webster.com/.

[OALD¹⁰] Hornby, Albert S. (2020¹⁰ [1948]) Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary of Current English. Ed. by Lea, Diana and Jennifer Bradbery, et al. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

[OED²] Online Version of The Oxford English Dictionary.

Anderwald, Lieselotte (2020) "The myth of American English *gotten* as a historical retention." In Merja Kytö and Erik Smitterberg, ed., *Late Modern English: Novel Encounters*. Amsterdam: John Benjamins.

Bloomfield, Morton W. and Leonard Newmark (1963) A Linguistic Introduction to the History of

- English. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Curme, George O. (1927) "Gotten." *American Speech*, 2: 495-496. (As referred to in Mencken (1977⁴ [1919]: 540).
- Hundt, Marianne (2009) "Colonial lag, colonial innovation or simply language change?" In Günter Rohdenburg and Julia Schlüter, ed., *One Language, Two Grammars?: Differences between British and American English.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- Mencken, Henry L. (1977⁴ [1919]) *The American Language: An Inquiry into the Development of English in the United States.* The Fourth Edition and the Two Supplements, Abridged, with Annotations and New Material, by Raven I. McDavid, Jr. with the Assistance of David W. Maurer. New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
- Nakamura, Fujio (2013) "A history of the third person singular present *don't*: Transition from *he don't know* to *he doesn't know*." Datasheets distributed at the 5th International Conference on Late Modern English, University of Bergamo, Italy, August 2013. Available through "2013 Datasheets" in https://researchmap.jp/read 0020179/ presentations?limit=100.
- Nakamura, Fujio (2023) "A history of negative contractions: With special reference to the reason why *doesn't* was delayed in American English." Datasheets Distributed at the 56th Annual Meeting of the Societas Linguistica Europaea, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Greece, August-September 2023. Available through "2023d Datasheets" in https://researchmap.jp/read 0020179/ presentations?limit=100.
- Nakamura, Fujio (2024) "Explaining American English spellings with reference to the history of British English spellings: Adverbs and prepositions ending in -ward and -wards." Datasheets Distributed at the 45th ICAME [International Computer Archive of Modern and Medieval English], University of Vigo, Spain, June 2024. Available through "2024a Datasheets" in https://researchmap.jp/read0020179/presentations?limit=100.
- Webster, Noah (1787⁴ [1784]) "A Grammatical Institute of the English language (Pt. II), with Explanatory Remarks by Michio Kawai." In Takanobu Otsuka, ed., *A Reprint Series of Books Relating to the English Language*, vol. 16. Tokyo: Nan'un-do, 1970.